

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

		- 1	Α	r		
т	OF	CO	м	м	ER	c

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,358	0	2/23/2000	Hiraku Kozuk	a	35.C14298	4155
5514	7590	12/04/2003			EXAM	INER
		LA HARPER &		LOUIE, WAI SING		
	FELLER PLAZ K, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2814	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		em/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/511,358	KOZUKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Wai-Sing Louie	2814
The MAILING DATE of this communication	9	with the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Catus	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  oirty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 September 2003.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal ma	
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 17</u> is/are pending ir	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
. 1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li></ol>	ments have been received in	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		of received.
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don since a specific reference was included in th 37 CFR 1.78.	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don reference was included in the first sentence		
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

om ... o

D. . . . C D. . . . No. . 4400

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Isogai et al. (US 6,188,093), previously used.

With regard to claim 1, Isogai et al. disclose photoelectric conversion device (col. 14, line 15 to col. 45, line 17 and fig. 1 to 4) comprising:

• A first conductivity type first semiconductor region 101 located in a pixel region 1 (col. 23, lines 9-14 and fig. 1-2);

Art Unit: 2814

- A second conductivity type second semiconductor region 18 provided in the first semiconductor region and capable of accumulating photoelectric carriers in a floating state (col. 5, lines 30-48, col. 21, line 17 and fig. 2);
- A wiring 24 for electrically connecting the second semiconductor region 18 to a circuit element 4 located outside the pixel region 1 (col. 22, line 5 and fig. 1-2);
- A conductor 23 provided on the wiring 24 located inside the pixel region 1, via an insulator 33 and capable of being kept at a stated potential, where the conductor 23 is formed in the same layer as a light-screening layer in the pixel region 1 (col. 22, line 3 and fig. 1-2).

With regard to claim 2, Isogai et al. disclose the second semiconductor region 18 is an island-shaped region surrounded by the first semiconductor region 101 (fig. 2).

With regard to claim 3, Isogai et al. disclose the second semiconductor region 18 has a first part which is the island-shaped region surrounded by the first semiconductor region 101 (fig. 2) and a second part surrounding the first part and having a lower impurity density than the first semiconductor region (col. 18, lines 24-47 and col. 24, lines 38-43).

With regard to claims 5-6, Isogai et al. disclose the circuit element is an MOS transistor comprises a resetting switch for resetting the potential of the second semiconductor region and an amplifying transistor for amplifying signals (col. 16, lines 35-51).

With regard to claim 7, Isogai et al. disclose the circuit element comprises an accumulating a reset noise and a noise reduction circuit for reducing the reset noise is connected (col. 6, lines 1-63).

Art Unit: 2814

With regard to claim 10, Isogai et al. disclose a terminal 44 connected to a power source  $V_{dd}$  (fig. 21).

With regard to claim 17, Isogai et al. disclose the conductor 310 extends into a substantially square opening formed in a light-screening layer to define the pixel region 306, and along the wiring located inside the pixel region 306 (fig. 43).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isogai et al. (US 6,188,093).

With regard to claims 8 and 9, Isogai et al. do not disclose "the conductor have a width smaller than the width of the wiring" or "the conductor have a width larger than the width of the wiring". However, It has been held in that the applicant must show that a particular range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Furthermore, the law is replete with cases in which when the mere difference between the claimed invention and the prior art is some dimensional limitation or other variable within the claims, patentability cannot be found. Moreover, the instant disclosure does not set forth

Art Unit: 2814

evidence ascribing unexpected results due to the claimed dimensions. See Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 1984), which held that the dimensional limitations failed to point out a feature which performed and operated any differently from the prior art.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-10 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2814

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 6

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0474.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

November 19, 2003.

LÓNG PHAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER